

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,571	03/29/2004	Salvadore P. Tarantino	AGHTP001D1	3691
22434	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
BEYER W	EAVER & THOMAS LL	BIDWELL, JAMES R		
P.O. BOX 7 OAKLAND	0250 , CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3651	
		DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/812,571	TARANTINO ET AL.	TARANTINO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James R. Bidwell	3651	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state telly received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	March 2004					
_		nis action is non-final.					
'=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			I).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applic	ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3651

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2 there is lack of antecedent support for the "support band". Band should be changed to belt.

In claim 4 –of—should be inserted after "each" in line 1 and in line 2 "products" should be changed to –product--.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paddock et al. (U.S. Patent 3,961,701).

Paddock et al. show a conveyor 12 with a plurality of cushioned produce holders 34 arranged on the a support 10 such that produce is held in place and the holders 34 are configured to limit bruising and damage.

Re claim 2, there are openings in the chain as is broadly claimed.

Re claim 3, the holders 34 can be considered paddles and they do hold produce in place.

Re claim 4, the holders bow when produce is placed in them.

Re claim 5, lettuce could be held in place.

Re claim 6, produce may be orientated as desired.

Re claim 7, as per claim 2 rejection.

Re claim 8, as per claim 6 rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paddock et al. in view of Grabscheid (U.S. Patent 6,173,831).

Paddock et al. do not show annular holding pads. However shown by Grabscheid et al. are annular holding pads 20. To have such pads between the chains of Paddock et al. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as it would merely provide for an alternate equilivalent cushioned holding pad.

Re claim 10, produce may be orientated as desired by one of ordinary skill in the art.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Bidwell whose telephone number is (571)272-6910. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri. from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene O. Crawford, can be reached on 571-272-6910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,571

Art Unit: 3651

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRB

4/25/2006

JAMES R. BIDWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3500

4/26/06

Page 5